Design marking guide and response

External assessment 2022

Examination — Design challenge (34 marks)

Assessment objectives

This assessment instrument is used to determine student achievement in the following objectives:

- 2. represent ideas, and a sustainable design concept using schematic sketching and/or ideation sketching in the develop phase
- 4. devise ideas using divergent thinking strategies in response to a redesign problem in the develop phase
- 5. synthesise ideas and sustainability information to propose a sustainable design concept in the develop phase
- 6. evaluate the strengths, limitations and implications of ideas against design criteria and make refinements.

Note: Objectives 1, 3 and 7 are not covered in this assessment.



Purpose

This document consists of a marking guide and a sample response.

The marking guide:

- · provides a tool for calibrating external assessment markers to ensure reliability of results
- indicates the correlation, for each question, between mark allocation and qualities at each level of the mark range
- informs schools and students about how marks are matched to qualities in student responses.

The sample response:

- demonstrates the qualities of a high-level response
- has been annotated using the marking guide.

Mark allocation

Where a response does not meet any of the descriptors for a question or a criterion, a mark of '0' will be recorded.

Where no response to a question has been made, a mark of 'N' will be recorded.

Marking guide

Criterion: Devising ideas

Divergence of ideas		Attributes of ideas		
The response:	M	The response:	M	
shows a wide range of ideas from divergent points of view, in response to the problem	5	shows ideas with unique, credible, and detailed attributes that demonstrate a perceptive response to the stimulus and design criteria	5	
shows a range of ideas from divergent points of view, in response to the problem	4	shows ideas with credible and detailed attributes that demonstrate a purposeful response to the stimulus and design criteria	4	
shows a range of ideas, in response to the problem	3	shows ideas with credible attributes in an appropriate response to the stimulus and design criteria	3	
shows ideas superficially devised in response to the problem	2	shows ideas with superficial attributes in response to the stimulus	2	
states suggestions in response to the problem	1	states stimulus information that relates to the ideas	1	
does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.	0	does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.	0	

Criterion: Evaluating and refining

Evaluation of ideas	Refinement of ideas		
The response:	М	The response:	М
provides a critical evaluation of ideas against all design criteria	5	shows discerning refinement of ideas based on judgments related to all criteria	5
provides a reasoned evaluation of ideas against all design criteria	4	shows effective refinement of ideas based on judgments related to at least two criteria	4
provides a feasible evaluation of ideas against at least two design criteria	3	shows adequate refinement of ideas based on judgments related to at least one criterion	3
provides a superficial evaluation of ideas against at least one design criterion	2	shows superficial refinement of ideas based on judgments related to at least one criterion	2
provides statements about ideas	1	shows changes to the ideas	1
does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.	0	does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.	0

Criterion: Synthesising a design concept

The response:	М
 proposes an innovative circular design concept that includes a coherent and logical combination of attributes drawn from multiple ideas and stimulus information satisfies all design criteria 	5
 proposes a credible circular design concept that includes a logical combination of ideas and stimulus information satisfies at least two design criteria 	4
 proposes a simple circular design concept that combines ideas and stimulus information satisfies at least one design criterion 	3
 proposes a rudimentary design concept that reproduces a single idea satisfies at least one design criterion 	2
shows a partial design concept in response to the problem	1
does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.	0

Criterion: Representing

Representing ideas	Representing the design concept		
The response:	М	The response:	M
shows sophisticated representation of ideas by using: elements and principles of visual communication to differentiate between critical and non-critical attributes in ideation and schematic sketching of ideas fluent combinations and sequences of related sketches to easily and readily show detail in the progression of understanding of ideas	5	shows sophisticated sketching of a design concept by using elements and principles of visual communication to differentiate between its critical and non-critical attributes	4
shows considered representation of ideas by using elements and principles of visual communication to represent the attributes of ideas in ideation and schematic sketching proficient sequences of related sketches to show the progression of understanding of ideas.	4	shows considered sketching of a design concept by using elements and principles of visual communication to represent its attributes	3
shows appropriate use of elements and principles of visual communication in ideation and schematic sketching of ideas sketches that show the progression of understanding of ideas across the pages.	3	shows appropriate sketching of a design concept by using line, shape, form, proportion and scale	2
shows cursory use of sketching to show understanding of ideas	2	shows cursory sketching of a design concept	1
shows illogical use of sketching to represent ideas or relies on written text descriptions	1	does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.	0
does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.	0		



Licence: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0 | Copyright notice: www.qcaa.qld.edu.au/copyright — lists the full terms and conditions, which specify certain exceptions to the licence. | Attribution: © State of Queensland (QCAA) 2022