Marine Science 2019 v1.2

IA1 sample marking scheme

July 2018

Data test (10%)

This sample has been compiled by the QCAA to model one possible approach to allocating marks in a data test. It matches the examination mark allocations as specified in the syllabus (~ 30% apply understanding, ~ 30% analyse evidence and ~ 40% interpret evidence) and ensures that a balance of the objectives are assessed.

Assessment objectives

This assessment instrument is used to determine student achievement in the following objectives:

- 2. apply understanding of the reef and beyond or changes on the reef to given algebraic, visual or graphical representations of scientific relationships and data to determine unknown scientific quantities or features
- 3. analyse evidence about the reef and beyond or changes on the reef to identify trends, patterns, relationships, limitations or uncertainty in datasets
- 4. interpret evidence about the reef and beyond or changes on the reef to draw conclusions based on analysis of datasets.

Note: Objectives 1, 5, 6 and 7 are not assessed in this instrument.





Instrument-specific marking guide (ISMG)

Criterion: Data test

Assessment objectives

- 2. apply understanding of the reef and beyond or changes on the reef to given algebraic, visual or graphical representations of scientific relationships and data to determine unknown scientific quantities or features
- 3. analyse evidence about the reef and beyond or changes on the reef to identify trends, patterns, relationships, limitations or uncertainty in datasets
- 4. interpret evidence about the reef and beyond or changes on the reef to draw conclusions based on analysis of datasets

| The student work has the following characteristics: | Cut-off | Marks |
|---|---------|-------|
| consistent demonstration, across a range of scenarios about the reef and beyond or changes on the reef, of selection and correct application of scientific concepts, theories, models and systems to predict outcomes, behaviours and implications | > 90% | 10 |
| correct calculation of quantities through the use of algebraic, visual and graphical representations of scientific relationships and data correct and appropriate use of analytical techniques to correctly identify trends, patterns, relationships, limitations and uncertainty correct interpretation of evidence to draw valid conclusions. | > 80% | 9 |
| consistent demonstration, in scenarios about the reef and beyond or changes on the reef, of selection and correct application of scientific concepts, theories, models and systems to predict outcomes, behaviours and implications correct calculation of quantities through the use of algebraic, visual and graphical representations of scientific relationships and data correct use of analytical techniques to correctly identify trends, patterns, relationships, limitations and uncertainty correct interpretation of evidence to draw valid conclusions. | > 70% | 8 |
| | > 60% | 7 |
| adequate demonstration, in the reef and beyond or changes on the reef, of selection and correct application of scientific concepts, theories, models and systems to predict outcomes, behaviours and implications correct calculation of quantities through the use of algebraic, visual and graphical representations of scientific relationships and data correct use of analytical techniques to correctly identify trends, patterns, relationships, limitations and uncertainty correct interpretation of evidence to draw valid conclusions. | > 50% | 6 |
| | > 40% | 5 |
| demonstration, in scenarios about the reef and beyond or changes on the reef, of elements of selection and correct application of scientific concepts, theories, models and systems to predict outcomes, behaviours and implications | > 30% | 4 |
| correct calculation of quantities through the use of algebraic, visual or graphical representations of scientific relationships or data correct use of analytical techniques to correctly identify trends, patterns, relationships, limitations or uncertainty correct interpretation of evidence to draw valid conclusions. | > 20% | 3 |

| The student work has the following characteristics: | Cut-off | Marks |
|--|---------|-------|
| demonstration, in scenarios about the reef and beyond or changes on the reef, of elements of application of scientific concepts, theories, models or systems to predict outcomes, behaviours or implications calculation of quantities through the use of algebraic or graphical | > 10% | 2 |
| calculation of quantities through the use of algebraic of graphical representations of scientific relationships and data use of analytical techniques to identify trends, patterns, relationships, limitations or uncertainty interpretation of evidence to draw conclusions. | > 1% | 1 |
| does not satisfy any of the descriptors above. | ≤ 1% | 0 |

Task

See the sample assessment instrument for IA1: Data test (10%) (available on the QCAA Portal).

Sample marking scheme

| Criterion | Marks allocated | Result |
|--|-----------------|--------|
| Data test Assessment objectives 2, 3, 4 | 10 | |
| Total | 10 | — |

Marking scheme symbols and abbreviations

| Symbol or abbreviation | Meaning | |
|--|--|--|
| ✓ | The preceding section of the expected response is worth one mark. | |
| 1 | Separates acceptable alternative wordings in the expected response. | |
| 0 | Terms in brackets are not necessary in the response for the mark to be awarded. | |
| shaded and underlined text | Shaded and underlined text must be included in the response for the mark to be awarded. | |
| Accept converse. | Award the mark even if the answer is stated in its converse form, e.g. 'A comes before B' can be stated as 'B comes after A'. | |
| Accept min-max. | Award the mark for any numerical answer that falls within the specified range, e.g. 'Accept 1.5–1.9' means that any answer between 1.5 and 1.9 should be considered correct. | |
| | This is used in items that involve a multi-step calculation where differences in rounding in the intermediate steps could result in slight differences in the final answer. | |
| Allow for FT | Means 'allow for follow-through error'. | |
| error | Initial errors should only be penalised once. Marks should be awarded for subsequent steps that are correct. | |
| Allow FT error for transcription only. | Follow-through error is only allowed if the student has written down information incorrectly but processed it correctly. | |
| AND | Separates two parts of the response that are both required for the mark to be awarded. | |
| Correct d.p. required. | The answer must be stated to the number of decimal places indicated in the item for the mark to be awarded. | |
| Correct s.f. required. | The answer must be stated to the correct number of significant figures indicated in the item for the mark to be awarded. | |
| Max. # marks. | The maximum number of marks that can be awarded for the response is indicated by #. | |
| OR | Separates acceptable alternative wordings. | |
| OWTTE | Means 'or words to that effect'. | |
| | This is used in questions where students are unlikely to use the exact wording given in the expected response. If the student's response has the same meaning as the expected response, then the mark should be awarded. | |
| Working not required. | Evidence of working, reasoning or calculations is not required for the mark to be awarded. | |

The annotations are written descriptions of the expected response for each question and are related to the assessment objectives.

| Assessment objective — annotation | Expected response Note: ✓ = 1 mark | Mark allocation |
|---|---|---|
| ltem 1 | | 2 marks |
| Apply understanding | a. Accept values between 42 and 43%. \checkmark | 1 mark for correct percentage. |
| The item uses the cognitive verb 'determine'. | b. Accept values between 4 and 4.5 m.✓ | 1 mark for correct quantity. |
| The expected response is an unknown scientific quantity. | | |
| ltem 2 | | 4 marks |
| Analyse evidence | a. Positive linear trend/strong, positive correlation (r = 0.936).√ | 1 mark for identifying the graphical relationship. |
| The item uses the cognitive verb 'identify'. | As macroalgal cover (%) increases the percentage of corals with direct algal competition increases.✓ | 1 mark for identifying the scientific relationship. |
| The expected response identifies a relationship. | b. Inverse relationship/logarithmic/natural logarithm. Macroalgal cover increases (steeply) with declining water clarity/Secchi depth/at values < 13 m √ | 1 mark for identifying the graphical relationship. |
| | water clarity/Second deptrivat values < 13 m. | 1 mark for identifying the scientific relationship. Accept converse. |
| Item 3 | · | 1 mark |
| Apply understanding | <mark>20</mark> m√ | 1 mark for correct quantity. |
| The item uses the cognitive verb 'identify'. | | |
| The expected response is an unknown scientific quantity. | | |
| Item 4 | | 2 marks |
| Interpret evidence The item uses the cognitive verb 'compare'. | The four coral core samples at Site A have mainly <i>Acropora</i> , compared to Site B, which show a change from mainly <i>Acropora</i> with small numbers of <i>Porites</i> and other genera to only <i>Pavona</i> . ✓ OWTTE | 1 mark for identifying a similarity or difference between the two sites. Accept converse. |
| The expected | AND | |
| response draws conclusions based on analysis. | Site B shows a greater change in diversity over time compared to Site A. \checkmark | |
| | | 1 mark for the identifying the significance of the similarity or difference. |

| Assessment objective — annotation | Expected response Note: ✓ = 1 mark | Mark allocation |
|--|---|---|
| Item 5 | | 2 marks |
| Interpret evidence | Site B√ | 1 mark for identifying the |
| The item uses the cognitive verb 'infer'. | <u>1928–1944</u> √ | 1 mark for identifying the |
| The expected response draws conclusions based on analysis. | | year range. |
| Item 6 | | 2 marks |
| Analyse evidence The item uses the cognitive verb | For FF, the difference between the mean number of individual coral colonies in Site A and Site B (14) is greater than the difference for AH (2). \checkmark | 1 mark for contrasting the size of the difference between Site A and Site B. |
| The expected response identifies relationships. | For FF, mean in Site A is greater than (>) the mean in Site B. Whereas, for AH, mean in Site A is less than (<) the mean in Site B. \checkmark | 1 mark for contrasting whether mean in Site A is greater or less than mean in Site B. Accept converse. |
| ltem 7 | | 2 marks |
| Interpret evidence The item uses the cognitive verb 'draw conclusions'. | The difference in distribution for SP is more likely to be due to chance than the differences in distribution for all other species. \checkmark | 1 mark for correct conclusion about relative probability of differences in distribution. Accept converse. |
| The expected response draws conclusions based on analysis. | | 1 mark for reasons. Accept converse. |
| Item 8 | | 3 marks |
| Apply understanding | N = <u>35</u> √ | 1 mark for calculation of N. |
| The item uses the cognitive verb 'calculate'. | $SDI = 1 - \frac{0 \times -1 + 11 \times 10 + 21 \times 20 + 3 \times 2}{35 \times 34} \checkmark$ | 1 mark for correct substitution of values into formula. |
| The expected response is an unknown scientific quantity. | SDI = <u>0.55</u> √ | 1 mark for correct SDI value. Correct d.p. required. Allow FT error for transcription only. |
| Item 9 | | 2 marks |
| Interpret evidence The item uses the cognitive verb 'infer'. The expected response draws conclusions based on | (SDI represents the probability that two individuals randomly selected from a sample will belong to different species.) Probability (different) = $0.55 / 55\% / \frac{55}{100}$ | No marks for recalling definition of SDI. 1 mark for interpreting SDI _{Site B} as the probability that coral are from different species. Allow for FT error from Item 8. |
| analysis. | Probability (same) = 1 - Probability (different) = $0.45 / 45\% / \frac{45}{100} \checkmark$ | 1 mark for determining the probability of species being the same. Working not required. Allow for FT error from Item 8. |