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## IA1 sample marking scheme

September 2023

## Data test (10\%)

This sample has been compiled by the QCAA to model one possible approach to allocating marks in a data test. It matches the examination mark allocations as specified in the syllabus ( $\sim 30 \%$ apply understanding, $\sim 30 \%$ analyse evidence and $\sim 40 \%$ interpret evidence) and ensures that a balance of the objectives are assessed.

## Assessment objectives

This assessment instrument is used to determine student achievement in the following objectives:
2. apply understanding of biodiversity or ecosystem dynamics to given algebraic, visual or graphical representations of scientific relationships and data to determine unknown scientific quantities or features
3. analyse evidence about biodiversity or ecosystem dynamics to identify trends, patterns, relationships, limitations or uncertainty in datasets
4. interpret evidence about biodiversity or ecosystem dynamics to draw conclusions based on analysis of datasets.
Note: Objectives 1, 5, 6 and 7 are not assessed in this instrument.

## Instrument-specific marking guide (ISMG)

## Criterion: Data test

## Assessment objectives

2. apply understanding of biodiversity or ecosystem dynamics to given algebraic, visual or graphical representations of scientific relationships and data to determine unknown scientific quantities or features
3. analyse evidence about biodiversity or ecosystem dynamics to identify trends, patterns, relationships, limitations or uncertainty in datasets
4. interpret evidence about biodiversity or ecosystem dynamics to draw conclusions based on analysis of datasets

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline The student work has the following characteristics: \& Cut-off \& Marks \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
- consistent demonstration, across a range of scenarios about biodiversity or ecosystem dynamics, of \\
- selection and correct application of scientific concepts, theories, models and systems to predict outcomes, behaviours and implications \\
- correct calculation of quantities through the use of algebraic, visual and graphical representations of scientific relationships and data \\
- correct and appropriate use of analytical techniques to correctly identify trends, patterns, relationships, limitations and uncertainty \\
- correct interpretation of evidence to draw valid conclusions.
\end{tabular} \& \(>90 \%\)

$>80 \%$ \& 10 <br>

\hline | - consistent demonstration, in scenarios about biodiversity or ecosystem dynamics, of |
| :--- |
| - selection and correct application of scientific concepts, theories, models and systems to predict outcomes, behaviours and implications |
| - correct calculation of quantities through the use of algebraic, visual and graphical representations of scientific relationships and data |
| - correct use of analytical techniques to correctly identify trends, patterns, relationships, limitations and uncertainty |
| - correct interpretation of evidence to draw valid conclusions. | \& $>70 \%$

$>60 \%$ \& 7 <br>

\hline | - adequate demonstration, in scenarios about biodiversity or ecosystem dynamics, of |
| :--- |
| - selection and correct application of scientific concepts, theories, models and systems to predict outcomes, behaviours and implications |
| - correct calculation of quantities through the use of algebraic, visual and graphical representations of scientific relationships and data |
| - correct use of analytical techniques to correctly identify trends, patterns, relationships, limitations and uncertainty |
| - correct interpretation of evidence to draw valid conclusions. | \& $>50 \%$

$>40 \%$ \& 6
5 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

| The student work has the following characteristics: | Cut-off | Marks |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| - demonstration, in scenarios about biodiversity or ecosystem dynamics, of <br> elements of <br> - selection and correct application of scientific concepts, theories, models and <br> systems to predict outcomes, behaviours and implications <br> - correct calculation of quantities through the use of algebraic, visual or graphical <br> representations of scientific relationships or data | $>30 \%$ | 4 |
| ${ }$relationships, limitations or uncertainty <br> - correct interpretation of evidence to draw valid conclusions.$}$ | $>20 \%$ | 3 |
| - demonstration, in scenarios about biodiversity or ecosystem dynamics, of <br> elements of <br> -application of scientific concepts, theories, models or systems to predict <br> outcomes, behaviours or implications | $>10 \%$ | 2 |
| - calculation of quantities through the use of algebraic or graphical <br> representations of scientific relationships and data <br> - use of analytical techniques to identify trends, patterns, relationships, <br> limitations or uncertainty <br> - interpretation of evidence to draw conclusions. | $>1 \%$ | 1 |
| - does not satisfy any of the descriptors above. | $\leq 1 \%$ | 0 |

## Task

See IA1 sample assessment instrument: Data test (10\%) (available on the QCAA Portal).

## Sample marking scheme

| Criterion | Marks allocated | Provisional <br> marks |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Data test <br> Assessment objectives 2, 3, 4 | 10 | - |
| Total | 10 | - |

## Marking scheme symbols and abbreviations

| Symbol or abbreviation | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | The preceding section of the expected response is worth one mark. |
| 1 | Separates acceptable alternative wordings in the expected response. |
| () | Terms in brackets are not necessary in the response for the mark to be awarded. |
| shaded and underlined text | Shaded and underlined text must be included in the response for the mark to be awarded. |
| Accept converse. | Award the mark even if the answer is stated in its converse form, e.g. 'A comes before B' can be stated as 'B comes after A'. |
| Accept min-max. | Award the mark for any numerical answer that falls within the specified range, e.g. 'Accept 1.5-1.9' means that any answer between 1.5 and 1.9 should be considered correct. <br> This is used in questions that involve a multi-step calculation where differences in rounding in the intermediate steps could result in slight differences in the final answer. |
| Allow for FT error ... | Means 'allow for follow-through error'. <br> Initial errors should only be penalised once. Marks should be awarded for subsequent steps that are correct. |
| Allow FT error for transcription only. | Follow-through error is only allowed if the student has written down information incorrectly but processed it correctly. |
| AND | Separates two parts of the response that are both required for the mark to be awarded. |
| Correct d.p. required. | The answer must be stated to the number of decimal places indicated in the question for the mark to be awarded. |
| Correct s.f. required. | The answer must be stated to the correct number of significant figures indicated in the question for the mark to be awarded. |
| Max. \# marks. | The maximum number of marks that can be awarded for the question is indicated by \#. |
| OR | Separates acceptable alternative wordings. |
| OWTTE | Means 'or words to that effect'. <br> This is used in questions where students are unlikely to use the exact wording given in the expected response. If the student's response has the same meaning as the expected response, then the mark should be awarded. |
| Working not required. | Evidence of working, reasoning or calculations is not required for the mark to be awarded. |

The annotations are written descriptions of the expected response for each question and are related to the assessment objectives.
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