Specialist Mathematics 2019 v1.2

Unit 1 Topic 1 sample assessment instrument

May 2021

Problem-solving and modelling task

This sample has been compiled by the QCAA to assist and support teachers in planning and developing assessment instruments for individual school settings.

Schools develop internal assessments for each senior subject, based on the learning described in Units 1 and 2 of the subject syllabus. Each unit objective must be assessed at least once.

Assessment objectives

This assessment instrument is used to determine student achievement in the following objectives:

- 1. select, recall and use facts, rules, definitions and procedures drawn from Unit 1 Topic 1
- 2. comprehend mathematical concepts and techniques drawn from Unit 1 Topic 1
- 3. communicate using mathematical, statistical and everyday language and conventions
- 4. evaluate the reasonableness of solutions
- 5. justify procedures and decisions by explaining mathematical reasoning
- 6. solve problems by applying mathematical concepts and techniques drawn from Unit 1 Topic 1.





Subject	Specialist Mathematics					
Technique	Problem-solving and modelling task					
Unit	1: Combinatorics, vectors and proof					
Торіс	1: Combinatorics					
Conditions						
Duration		4 weeks (including 3 hours of class time)				
Mode		Written report	Length	Up to 10 pages, maximum 2000 words, excluding appendixes		
Individual/group		Individual responses	Other	-		
Resources available		The use of technology is required, e.g. • computer • internet • spreadsheet program • graphics calculator • other software.				
Contaxt						

Context

In 1870, Mark Twain wrote a humorous story called 'Science vs. Luck' about a gambler accused of illegal involvement in a 'game of chance'. The gambler later escaped punishment by 'proving' that his gambling game was in fact a 'game of science' based on his understanding of mathematics rather than just luck.

These days, gambling is often portrayed as a source of entertainment and an opportunity to gain quick financial rewards. However, while the mathematical probabilities and associated rewards of the 'winning outcomes' vary for each game of chance, the reality is that gambling odds always favour the long-term profitability of the gambling venue or 'house'. Many long-term players have difficulty limiting the amount of money and time they spend gambling. This can harm the individual, their family and friends, and the wider community.

In Australia, the long-term expected return to the casino (the 'house edge') varies for each gambling activity as regulated by law. Electronic gaming machines ('pokies') are required to meet the regulation of a house edge of between 10% and 15%.¹

¹**Source:** Tasmanian Government, Department of Health and Human Services, *Know Your Odds*, 'The house edge', http://knowyourodds.net.au/house-edge.

Task

Your task is to design your own unique 'game of science', clearly outlining background information and rules. Your game design must be interesting enough to motivate people to play it.

Decide on at least three winning outcomes in your game from which a player can gain appropriate financial rewards commensurate with their relative probability of occurrence. Across these winning outcomes, you must:

- demonstrate an understanding of permutations and/or combinations calculations of the theoretical probabilities
- show evidence that you have applied the addition principle and multiplication principle.

Your game design must meet the required regulation of a house edge, as described in the context above, using the rule:

House edge = $\frac{\text{expected return to the casino}}{\text{amount spent by gamblers}} \times 100\%$

Simulate relevant observations of your game using technology. Compare the experimental results from your simulation against the theoretical probabilities associated with the winning outcomes of both the player and the house, and against the theoretical house edge.

To complete this task, you must:

- present your findings as an investigative report based on the approach to problem-solving and mathematical modelling outlined in the Specialist Mathematics syllabus and on page 4 of this instrument
- develop a unique response.

Stimulus

Checkpoints

□ One week after issue date: Students email evidence of their progress to their teacher.

□ Two weeks after issue date: Students email evidence of their progress to their teacher.

□ Three weeks after issue date: Students email a draft for feedback. A summary of feedback and advice is given to the whole class.

□ Four weeks after issue date: Students submit their final response.

Criterion	Marks allocated	Result
Formulate Assessment objectives 1, 2, 5		
Solve Assessment objectives 1, 6		
Evaluate and verify Assessment objectives 4, 5		
Communicate Assessment objective 3		
Total		

Authentication strategies

• Students will each produce a unique response by using individualised data and producing unique reports.

• Students will provide documentation of their progress at the checkpoints.

• Students will use plagiarism-detection software at submission of the response.

• Students must submit a declaration of authenticity.

Scaffolding

The approach to problem-solving and modelling on the following page must be used.

Approach to problem-solving and mathematical modelling

