Philosophy & Reason marking guide and response

External assessment 2023

Extended response (50 marks)

Assessment objectives

This assessment instrument is used to determine student achievement in the following objectives:

- 1. define and use terminology relating to political philosophy in order to demonstrate an understanding of meaning
- 2. explain concepts, methods, principles and theories relating to political philosophy
- 3. interpret and analyse arguments, ideas and information relating to political philosophy
- 4. organise and synthesise ideas and information to understand, engage with and construct arguments relating to political philosophy
- 5. evaluate claims and arguments inherent in theories and views relating to political philosophy
- 6. create an analytical essay response that communicates ideas and arguments relating to political philosophy.





Purpose

This document consists of a marking guide and a sample response.

The marking guide:

- provides a tool for calibrating external assessment markers to ensure reliability of results
- indicates the correlation, for each question, between mark allocation and qualities at each level of the mark range
- informs schools and students about how marks are matched to qualities in student responses.

The sample response:

- demonstrates the qualities of a high-level response
- has been annotated using the marking guide.

Mark allocation

Where a response does not meet any of the descriptors for a question or a criterion, a mark of '0' will be recorded.

Where no response to a question has been made, a mark of 'N' will be recorded.

Marking guide

Criterion 1: Defining, using and explaining

Using terminology

The response, for the first political philosophy selected:	М	The response, for the second political philosophy selected:	М
consistently and appropriately uses relevant terminology throughout	4	 consistently and appropriately uses relevant terminology throughout 	4
 consistently and appropriately uses relevant terminology throughout, with minor errors or omissions 	3	 consistently and appropriately uses relevant terminology throughout, with minor errors or omissions 	3
appropriately uses some relevant terminology	2	appropriately uses some relevant terminology	2
 includes some terminology that refers to the political philosophy 	1	 includes some terminology that refers to the political philosophy 	1
 does not satisfy any of the descriptors above. 	0	 does not satisfy any of the descriptors above. 	0

Explaining concepts

The response, for the first political philosophy selected:	М	The response, for the second political philosophy selected:	М
 clearly explains a notion of fairness in the political philosophy that is plausible in all key aspects 	4	 clearly explains a notion of fairness in the political philosophy that is plausible in all key aspects 	4
 explains a notion of fairness in the political philosophy that is plausible in most key aspects 	3	 explains a notion of fairness in the political philosophy that is plausible in most key aspects 	3
explains tenets of the political philosophy	2	explains tenets of the political philosophy	2
describes an aspect of the political philosophy	1	describes an aspect of the political philosophy	1
• does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.	0	 does not satisfy any of the descriptors above. 	0

Criterion 2: Interpreting and analysing

Determining relationships

The response, for the first political philosophy selected:	М	The response, for the second political philosophy selected:	М
 determines significant relationships within or between ideas and arguments connected to the political philosophy and its notion of fairness 	4	 determines significant relationships within or between ideas and arguments connected to the political philosophy and its notion of fairness 	4
 determines a significant relationship within or between ideas and arguments connected to the political philosophy and its notion of fairness 	3	 determines a significant relationship within or between ideas and arguments connected to the political philosophy and its notion of fairness 	3
 identifies relationships within or between ideas and arguments connected to the political philosophy 	2	 identifies relationships within or between ideas and arguments connected to the political philosophy 	2
 identifies a relationship within or between ideas and arguments connected to the political philosophy 	1	 identifies a relationship within or between ideas and arguments connected to the political philosophy 	1
 does not satisfy any of the descriptors above. 	0	 does not satisfy any of the descriptors above. 	0

Deconstructing arguments

The response, for the first political philosophy selected:	М	The response, for the second political philosophy selected:	М
 provides a precise deconstruction of argument/s in the political philosophy that relate to the concept of fairness accurately identifies premises and conclusion/s 	4	 provides a precise deconstruction of argument/s in the political philosophy that relate to the concept of fairness accurately identifies premises and conclusion/s 	4
 provides a considered deconstruction of argument/s in the political philosophy that relate to the concept of fairness identifies premises and conclusion/s, with minor errors 	3	 provides a considered deconstruction of argument/s in the political philosophy that relate to the concept of fairness identifies premises and conclusion/s, with minor errors 	3
• provides a deconstruction of argument/s in the political philosophy	2	 provides a deconstruction of argument/s in the political philosophy 	2
 states a claim that relates to the concept of fairness 	1	 states a claim that relates to the concept of fairness 	1
 does not satisfy any of the descriptors above. 	0	 does not satisfy any of the descriptors above. 	0

Criterion 3: Organising, synthesising and evaluating

Evaluating claims, arguments and views

The response, for the first political philosophy selected:	M	The response, for the second political philosophy selected:	М
 provides an insightful and justified evaluation of the tenets of the political philosophy using relevant criteria uses all appropriate criteria in the evaluation of an argument and its claims 	4	 provides an insightful and justified evaluation of the tenets of the political philosophy using relevant criteria uses all appropriate criteria in the evaluation of an argument and its claims 	4
 provides a justified evaluation of the tenets of the political philosophy using criteria uses most appropriate criteria in the evaluation of an argument and its claims 	3	 provides a justified evaluation of the tenets of the political philosophy using criteria uses most appropriate criteria in the evaluation of an argument and its claims 	3
 provides an evaluation of the tenets of the political philosophy uses some appropriate criteria in the evaluation of an argument and its claims 	2	 provides an evaluation of the tenets of the political philosophy uses some appropriate criteria in the evaluation of an argument and its claims 	2
 provides an evaluation of a tenet of the political philosophy OR provides an evaluation of an argument or its claims 	1	 provides an evaluation of a tenet of the political philosophy OR provides an evaluation of an argument or its claims 	1
• does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.	0	 does not satisfy any of the descriptors above. 	0

Constructing arguments

The response:	М
 skilfully constructs a cogent argument on the preferability of a political philosophy in terms of fairness uses relevant philosophical ideas effectively to support this argument demonstrates discerning use of the stimulus 	5
 constructs a cogent argument on the preferability of a political philosophy in terms of fairness uses relevant philosophical ideas to support this argument demonstrates competent use of the stimulus 	4
 constructs an argument on the preferability of a political philosophy in terms of fairness uses philosophical ideas to support this argument demonstrates use of the stimulus 	3
 states a perspective on the preferability of a political philosophy in terms of fairness uses an idea to support this perspective 	2
includes a statement on the preferability of a political philosophy in terms of fairness	1
does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.	0

Criterion 4: Creating and communicating

The response:	М
 conveys ideas and arguments in response to the question succinctly, purposefully and fluently uses the analytical essay genre, with paragraphs logically sequenced to support a central thesis 	5
 conveys ideas and arguments relating to the question fluently uses the analytical essay genre, with paragraphs sequenced to support a central thesis 	4
 conveys ideas and arguments relating to the question uses some features of the analytical essay genre 	3
conveys ideas relating to the question	2
conveys an idea relating to the question	1
does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.	0