# Philosophy & Reason marking guide and response

External assessment 2021

### Extended response (50 marks)

#### **Assessment objectives**

This assessment instrument is used to determine student achievement in the following objectives:

- 1. define and use terminology relating to political philosophy in order to demonstrate an understanding of meaning
- 2. explain concepts, methods, principles and theories relating to political philosophy
- 3. interpret and analyse arguments, ideas and information relating to political philosophy
- 4. organise and synthesise ideas and information to understand, engage with and construct arguments relating to political philosophy
- 5. evaluate claims and arguments inherent in theories and views relating to political philosophy
- 6. create an analytical essay response that communicates ideas and arguments relating to political philosophy.





# Purpose

This document consists of a marking guide and a sample response.

The marking guide:

- · provides a tool for calibrating external assessment markers to ensure reliability of results
- indicates the correlation, for each question, between mark allocation and qualities at each level of the mark range
- informs schools and students about how marks are matched to qualities in student responses.

The sample response:

- · demonstrates the qualities of a high-level response
- has been annotated using the marking guide.

# Mark allocation

Where a response does not meet any of the descriptors for a question or a criterion, a mark of '0' will be recorded.

Where no response to a question has been made, a mark of 'N' will be recorded.

# Marking guide

# Criterion 1: Defining, using and explaining

#### Using terminology

The response, for the first political philosophy selected:	M	The response, for the second political philosophy selected:	М
consistently and appropriately uses relevant terminology throughout	4	· consistently and appropriately uses relevant terminology throughout	4
<ul> <li>appropriately uses relevant terminology throughout with minor errors or omissions</li> </ul>	3	<ul> <li>appropriately uses relevant terminology throughout with minor errors or omissions</li> </ul>	3
uses some relevant terminology	2	uses some relevant terminology	2
<ul> <li>includes some terminology that may refer to the political philosophy</li> </ul>	1	<ul> <li>includes some terminology that may refer to the political philosophy</li> </ul>	1
<ul> <li>does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.</li> </ul>	0	<ul> <li>does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.</li> </ul>	0

# Criterion 1: Defining, using and explaining

#### Explaining concepts

The response, for the first political philosophy selected:	М	The response, for the second political philosophy selected:	М
<ul> <li>explains in detail the concept of distributive justice in the political philosophy that is accurate in all key aspects</li> </ul>	4	<ul> <li>explains in detail the concept of distributive justice in the political philosophy that is accurate in all key aspects</li> </ul>	4
<ul> <li>explains the concept of distributive justice in the political philosophy that is accurate in most key aspects</li> </ul>	3	<ul> <li>explains the concept of distributive justice in the political philosophy that is accurate in most key aspects</li> </ul>	3
<ul> <li>describes an aspect of the concept of distributive justice in the political philosophy</li> <li>OR</li> </ul>	2	<ul> <li>describes an aspect of the concept of distributive justice in the political philosophy</li> <li>OR</li> </ul>	2
explains key tenets of the political philosophy		explains key tenets of the political philosophy	
states aspects of the political philosophy	1	states aspects of the political philosophy	1
<ul> <li>does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.</li> </ul>	0	does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.	0

# **Criterion 2: Interpreting and analysing**

#### **Determining relationships**

The response, for the first political philosophy selected:	M	The response, for the second political philosophy selected:	М
<ul> <li>determines significant relationships within and between ideas and arguments connected to the political philosophy and distributive justice</li> </ul>	4	<ul> <li>determines significant relationships within and between ideas and arguments connected to the political philosophy and distributive justice</li> </ul>	4
<ul> <li>determines some relationships within and between ideas and arguments connected to the political philosophy and/or distributive justice</li> </ul>	3	<ul> <li>determines some relationships within and between ideas and arguments connected to the political philosophy and/or distributive justice</li> </ul>	3
<ul> <li>identifies relationships within and between ideas and arguments connected to the political philosophy and/or distributive justice</li> </ul>	2	<ul> <li>identifies relationships within and between ideas and arguments connected to the political philosophy and/or distributive justice</li> </ul>	2
states an idea, concept or feature connected to the political philosophy	1	$\cdot$ states an idea, concept or feature connected to the political philosophy	1
does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.	0	<ul> <li>does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.</li> </ul>	0

# **Criterion 2: Interpreting and analysing**

#### **Deconstructing arguments**

The response, for the first political philosophy selected:	М	The response, for the second political philosophy selected:	М
<ul> <li>provides a precise deconstruction of argument/s relating to the concept of distributive justice using relevant ideas</li> <li>accurately identifies premises and conclusion/s</li> </ul>	4	<ul> <li>provides a precise deconstruction of argument/s relating to the concept of distributive justice using relevant ideas</li> <li>accurately identifies premises and conclusion/s</li> </ul>	4
<ul> <li>provides a considered deconstruction of argument/s relating to the concept of distributive justice using relevant ideas</li> <li>accurately identifies premises and conclusion/s</li> </ul>	3	<ul> <li>provides a considered deconstruction of argument/s relating to the concept of distributive justice using relevant ideas</li> <li>accurately identifies premises and conclusion/s</li> </ul>	3
<ul> <li>provides a deconstruction of argument/s relating to the concept of distributive justice using relevant ideas</li> <li>identifies some relevant premises and links them to a conclusion</li> </ul>	2	<ul> <li>provides a deconstruction of argument/s relating to the concept of distributive justice using relevant ideas</li> <li>identifies some relevant premises and links them to a conclusion</li> </ul>	2
<ul> <li>states a premise or conclusion relating to the concept of distributive justice</li> </ul>	1	<ul> <li>states a premise or conclusion relating to the concept of distributive justice</li> </ul>	1
does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.	0	does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.	0

# Criterion 3: Organising, synthesising and evaluating

#### Evaluating claims, arguments and views

The response, for the first political philosophy selected:	M	The response, for the second political philosophy selected:	М
<ul> <li>provides an accurate evaluation of argument from the political philosophy's perspective and its claims using appropriate criteria</li> <li>provides an insightful and justified evaluation of the tenets of the political philosophy using relevant criteria</li> </ul>	4	<ul> <li>provides an accurate evaluation of argument from the political philosophy's perspective and its claims using appropriate criteria</li> <li>provides an insightful and justified evaluation of the tenets of the political philosophy using relevant criteria</li> </ul>	4
<ul> <li>provides an accurate evaluation of argument from the political philosophy's perspective or its claims using appropriate criteria</li> <li>provides a justified evaluation of the tenets of the political philosophy using criteria</li> </ul>	3	<ul> <li>provides an accurate evaluation of argument from the political philosophy's perspective or its claims using appropriate criteria</li> <li>provides a justified evaluation of the tenets of the political philosophy using criteria</li> </ul>	3
<ul> <li>provides an evaluation of argument from the political philosophy's perspective or its claims</li> <li>provides an evaluation of the tenets of the political philosophy</li> </ul>	2	<ul> <li>provides an evaluation of argument from the political philosophy's perspective or its claims</li> <li>provides an evaluation of the tenets of the political philosophy</li> </ul>	2
<ul> <li>provides an evaluation of argument from the political philosophy's perspective or its claims</li> <li>OR</li> <li>provides an evaluation of the tenets of the political philosophy</li> </ul>	1	<ul> <li>provides an evaluation of argument from the political philosophy's perspective or its claims</li> <li>OR</li> <li>provides an evaluation of the tenets of the political philosophy</li> </ul>	1
does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.	0	<ul> <li>does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.</li> </ul>	0

# Criterion 3: Organising, synthesising and evaluating

#### **Constructing arguments**

The response:	М
<ul> <li>constructs a cogent argument on the capacity of a universal basic income (UBI) to deliver distributive justice</li> <li>uses relevant philosophical ideas effectively to support this argument</li> <li>demonstrates discerning use of the stimulus</li> </ul>	5
<ul> <li>constructs a cogent argument on the capacity of a UBI to deliver distributive justice</li> <li>uses relevant philosophical ideas to support this argument</li> <li>demonstrates competent use of the stimulus</li> </ul>	4
<ul> <li>constructs an argument on the capacity of a UBI to deliver distributive justice</li> <li>uses philosophical ideas to support this argument</li> <li>demonstrates use of the stimulus</li> </ul>	3
<ul> <li>states a perspective on the capacity of a UBI to deliver distributive justice</li> <li>uses an idea/s to support this perspective</li> <li>demonstrates irrelevant or limited use of the stimulus</li> </ul>	2
includes a statement on the capacity of a UBI to deliver distributive justice	1
does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.	0

# **Criterion 4: Creating and communicating**

The response:	M
<ul> <li>conveys ideas and arguments relating to the question succinctly, purposefully and fluently</li> <li>uses the analytical essay genre, with paragraphs logically sequenced to support the central thesis</li> </ul>	5
<ul> <li>conveys ideas and arguments relating to the question fluently</li> <li>uses the analytical essay genre, with paragraphs sequenced to support the central thesis</li> </ul>	4
<ul> <li>conveys ideas and arguments relating to the question</li> <li>uses the analytical essay genre, with paragraphs evident</li> </ul>	3
conveys ideas or arguments relating to the question using paragraphs	2
conveys ideas or arguments relating to the question	1
does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.	0

© (i) © State of Queensland (QCAA) 2021

 $\label{eq:licence:https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0 | Copyright notice: www.qcaa.qld.edu.au/copyright — lists the full terms and conditions, which specify certain exceptions to the licence. | Attribution: © State of Queensland (QCAA) 2021$