Philosophy & Reason marking guide and response

External assessment 2021

Extended response (50 marks)

Assessment objectives

This assessment instrument is used to determine student achievement in the following objectives:

- 1. define and use terminology relating to political philosophy in order to demonstrate an understanding of meaning
- 2. explain concepts, methods, principles and theories relating to political philosophy
- 3. interpret and analyse arguments, ideas and information relating to political philosophy
- 4. organise and synthesise ideas and information to understand, engage with and construct arguments relating to political philosophy
- 5. evaluate claims and arguments inherent in theories and views relating to political philosophy
- 6. create an analytical essay response that communicates ideas and arguments relating to political philosophy.





Purpose

This document consists of a marking guide and a sample response.

The marking guide:

- · provides a tool for calibrating external assessment markers to ensure reliability of results
- indicates the correlation, for each question, between mark allocation and qualities at each level of the mark range
- informs schools and students about how marks are matched to qualities in student responses.

The sample response:

- · demonstrates the qualities of a high-level response
- has been annotated using the marking guide.

Mark allocation

Where a response does not meet any of the descriptors for a question or a criterion, a mark of '0' will be recorded.

Where no response to a question has been made, a mark of 'N' will be recorded.

Marking guide

Criterion 1: Defining, using and explaining

Using terminology

The response, for the first political philosophy selected:	M	The response, for the second political philosophy selected:	М
consistently and appropriately uses relevant terminology throughout	4	· consistently and appropriately uses relevant terminology throughout	4
 appropriately uses relevant terminology throughout with minor errors or omissions 	3	 appropriately uses relevant terminology throughout with minor errors or omissions 	3
uses some relevant terminology	2	uses some relevant terminology	2
 includes some terminology that may refer to the political philosophy 	1	 includes some terminology that may refer to the political philosophy 	1
 does not satisfy any of the descriptors above. 	0	 does not satisfy any of the descriptors above. 	0

Criterion 1: Defining, using and explaining

Explaining concepts

The response, for the first political philosophy selected:	М	The response, for the second political philosophy selected:	М
 explains in detail the concept of distributive justice in the political philosophy that is accurate in all key aspects 	4	 explains in detail the concept of distributive justice in the political philosophy that is accurate in all key aspects 	4
 explains the concept of distributive justice in the political philosophy that is accurate in most key aspects 	3	 explains the concept of distributive justice in the political philosophy that is accurate in most key aspects 	3
 describes an aspect of the concept of distributive justice in the political philosophy OR 	2	 describes an aspect of the concept of distributive justice in the political philosophy OR 	2
explains key tenets of the political philosophy		explains key tenets of the political philosophy	
states aspects of the political philosophy	1	states aspects of the political philosophy	1
 does not satisfy any of the descriptors above. 	0	does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.	0

Criterion 2: Interpreting and analysing

Determining relationships

The response, for the first political philosophy selected:	M	The response, for the second political philosophy selected:	М
 determines significant relationships within and between ideas and arguments connected to the political philosophy and distributive justice 	4	 determines significant relationships within and between ideas and arguments connected to the political philosophy and distributive justice 	4
 determines some relationships within and between ideas and arguments connected to the political philosophy and/or distributive justice 	3	 determines some relationships within and between ideas and arguments connected to the political philosophy and/or distributive justice 	3
 identifies relationships within and between ideas and arguments connected to the political philosophy and/or distributive justice 	2	 identifies relationships within and between ideas and arguments connected to the political philosophy and/or distributive justice 	2
states an idea, concept or feature connected to the political philosophy	1	\cdot states an idea, concept or feature connected to the political philosophy	1
does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.	0	 does not satisfy any of the descriptors above. 	0

Criterion 2: Interpreting and analysing

Deconstructing arguments

The response, for the first political philosophy selected:	М	The response, for the second political philosophy selected:	М
 provides a precise deconstruction of argument/s relating to the concept of distributive justice using relevant ideas accurately identifies premises and conclusion/s 	4	 provides a precise deconstruction of argument/s relating to the concept of distributive justice using relevant ideas accurately identifies premises and conclusion/s 	4
 provides a considered deconstruction of argument/s relating to the concept of distributive justice using relevant ideas accurately identifies premises and conclusion/s 	3	 provides a considered deconstruction of argument/s relating to the concept of distributive justice using relevant ideas accurately identifies premises and conclusion/s 	3
 provides a deconstruction of argument/s relating to the concept of distributive justice using relevant ideas identifies some relevant premises and links them to a conclusion 	2	 provides a deconstruction of argument/s relating to the concept of distributive justice using relevant ideas identifies some relevant premises and links them to a conclusion 	2
 states a premise or conclusion relating to the concept of distributive justice 	1	 states a premise or conclusion relating to the concept of distributive justice 	1
does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.	0	does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.	0

Criterion 3: Organising, synthesising and evaluating

Evaluating claims, arguments and views

The response, for the first political philosophy selected:	M	The response, for the second political philosophy selected:	М
 provides an accurate evaluation of argument from the political philosophy's perspective and its claims using appropriate criteria provides an insightful and justified evaluation of the tenets of the political philosophy using relevant criteria 	4	 provides an accurate evaluation of argument from the political philosophy's perspective and its claims using appropriate criteria provides an insightful and justified evaluation of the tenets of the political philosophy using relevant criteria 	4
 provides an accurate evaluation of argument from the political philosophy's perspective or its claims using appropriate criteria provides a justified evaluation of the tenets of the political philosophy using criteria 	3	 provides an accurate evaluation of argument from the political philosophy's perspective or its claims using appropriate criteria provides a justified evaluation of the tenets of the political philosophy using criteria 	3
 provides an evaluation of argument from the political philosophy's perspective or its claims provides an evaluation of the tenets of the political philosophy 	2	 provides an evaluation of argument from the political philosophy's perspective or its claims provides an evaluation of the tenets of the political philosophy 	2
 provides an evaluation of argument from the political philosophy's perspective or its claims OR provides an evaluation of the tenets of the political philosophy 	1	 provides an evaluation of argument from the political philosophy's perspective or its claims OR provides an evaluation of the tenets of the political philosophy 	1
does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.	0	 does not satisfy any of the descriptors above. 	0

Criterion 3: Organising, synthesising and evaluating

Constructing arguments

The response:	М
 constructs a cogent argument on the capacity of a universal basic income (UBI) to deliver distributive justice uses relevant philosophical ideas effectively to support this argument demonstrates discerning use of the stimulus 	5
 constructs a cogent argument on the capacity of a UBI to deliver distributive justice uses relevant philosophical ideas to support this argument demonstrates competent use of the stimulus 	4
 constructs an argument on the capacity of a UBI to deliver distributive justice uses philosophical ideas to support this argument demonstrates use of the stimulus 	3
 states a perspective on the capacity of a UBI to deliver distributive justice uses an idea/s to support this perspective demonstrates irrelevant or limited use of the stimulus 	2
includes a statement on the capacity of a UBI to deliver distributive justice	1
does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.	0

Criterion 4: Creating and communicating

The response:	M
 conveys ideas and arguments relating to the question succinctly, purposefully and fluently uses the analytical essay genre, with paragraphs logically sequenced to support the central thesis 	5
 conveys ideas and arguments relating to the question fluently uses the analytical essay genre, with paragraphs sequenced to support the central thesis 	4
 conveys ideas and arguments relating to the question uses the analytical essay genre, with paragraphs evident 	3
conveys ideas or arguments relating to the question using paragraphs	2
conveys ideas or arguments relating to the question	1
does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.	0

© (i) © State of Queensland (QCAA) 2021

 $\label{eq:licence:https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0 | Copyright notice: www.qcaa.qld.edu.au/copyright — lists the full terms and conditions, which specify certain exceptions to the licence. | Attribution: © State of Queensland (QCAA) 2021$