

Ancient History marking guide and response

External assessment 2025

Short response (40 marks)

Assessment objectives

This assessment instrument is used to determine student achievement in the following objectives:

1. comprehend terms, concepts and issues in relation to a topic focused on people, power and authority in the Ancient World
3. analyse evidence from historical sources to show understanding in relation to a topic focused on the nature of power and how it was exercised in the Ancient World
4. synthesise evidence from historical sources to form a historical argument in relation to a topic focused on a powerful individual, group or society in the Ancient World
5. evaluate evidence from historical sources to make judgments in relation to a topic focused on people, power and authority in the Ancient World
6. create responses that communicate meaning to suit purpose in relation to a topic focused on people, power and authority in the Ancient World

Note: Unit objective 2 is not assessed in this instrument.

Purpose

This document consists of a marking guide and a sample response.

The marking guide:

- provides a tool for calibrating external assessment markers to ensure reliability of results
- indicates the correlation, for each question, between mark allocation and qualities at each level of the mark range
- informs schools and students about how marks are matched to qualities in student responses.

The sample response:

- demonstrates the qualities of a high-level response
- has been annotated using the marking guide.

Mark allocation

Where a response does not meet any of the descriptors for a question or a criterion, a mark of '0' will be recorded.

Marking guide

Short response

Criterion: Comprehending

Q	The response:
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• identifies two ways Caesar made use of family connections to advance his political career [1 mark]• explains the first way using relevant evidence from Source 1 [1 mark]• explains the second way using relevant evidence from Source 1 [1 mark]

Criterion: Analysing

Q	The response, for Source 2:	The response, for Source 3:
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• explains the extent of Caesar's military success in Gaul [1 mark]• uses well-chosen evidence from Source 2 to support the point being made [1 mark]• identifies a possible motive for the creation of Source 2 [1 mark]• uses well-chosen evidence to explain the motive identified [1 mark]	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• explains the extent of Caesar's military success in Gaul [1 mark]• uses well-chosen evidence from Source 3 to support the explanation [1 mark]• identifies a possible motive for the creation of Source 3 [1 mark]• uses well-chosen evidence to explain the motive identified [1 mark]

Criterion: Comprehending

Q	The response:
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• uses relevant terms in historical context [1 mark]

Criterion: Creating and communicating

Q	The response:
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• logically conveys ideas related to the question [1 mark]

Criterion: Evaluating

Q	The response, for Source 4:	M	The response, for Source 5:	M
3	Reliability			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> explains a discerning judgment about reliability using well-chosen evidence from Source 4 	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> explains a discerning judgment about reliability using well-chosen evidence from Source 5 	2
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> makes a judgment about reliability referring to relevant evidence from Source 4 	1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> makes a judgment about reliability referring to relevant evidence from Source 5 	1
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> does not satisfy any of the descriptors above. 	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> does not satisfy any of the descriptors above. 	0
	Usefulness			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> explains a discerning judgment about usefulness using well-chosen evidence from Source 4 	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> explains a discerning judgment about usefulness using well-chosen evidence from Source 5 	2
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> makes a judgment about usefulness referring to relevant evidence from Source 4 	1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> makes a judgment about usefulness referring to relevant evidence from Source 5 	1
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> does not satisfy any of the descriptors above. 	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> does not satisfy any of the descriptors above. 	0

Criterion: Comprehending

Q	The response:
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> demonstrates an understanding of Caesar's motives in establishing the Triumvirate [1 mark] demonstrates an understanding of the nature of historical evidence [1 mark]

Criterion: Creating and communicating

Q	The response:
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> logically conveys ideas related to the question [1 mark]

Criterion: Synthesising

Q	The response:	M	
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • presents a sophisticated historical argument about the extent to which the conspirators killed Caesar for the greater good of the Republic 	4	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • presents a reasoned historical argument about the extent to which the conspirators killed Caesar for the greater good of the Republic 	3	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • presents a basic historical argument about the extent to which the conspirators killed Caesar for the greater good of the Republic 	2	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • makes statements about the extent to which the conspirators killed Caesar for the greater good of the Republic 	1	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • does not satisfy any of the descriptors above. 	0	
	The response:		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • skilfully combines relevant evidence from all four sources to develop the historical argument 	4	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • combines relevant evidence from all four sources to develop the historical argument 	3	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • combines relevant evidence from three sources to develop the historical argument 	2	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • refers to evidence from two sources 	1	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • does not satisfy any of the descriptors above. 	0	

Criterion: Comprehending

Q	The response:
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• uses relevant terms in historical context [1 mark]• demonstrates an informed understanding of concepts related to the question [1 mark]• demonstrates an understanding of one issue related to the question [1 mark]• demonstrates an understanding of a second issue related to the question [1 mark]

Criterion: Evaluating

Q	The response	M
4	Usefulness	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• uses evidence from two sources to explain how the sources corroborate a point being made in the historical argument	2
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• describes how the sources corroborate a point being made in the historical argument	1
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.	0

Criterion: Creating and communicating

Q	The response:
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• conveys ideas related to the question [1 mark]• organises paragraph/s purposefully, acknowledging sources used [1 mark]

References

Source 6

Scullard, H. H., & Rathbone, D. (2021). *From the Gracchi to Nero* Taylor & Francis

Source 8

Excerpts from *The Assassination of Julius Caesar: A People's History of Ancient Rome* - Copyright © 2003 by Michael Parenti. Reprinted by permission of The New Press. www.thenewpress.org



© State of Queensland (QCAA) 2025

Licence: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0> | Copyright notice: www.qcaa.qld.edu.au/copyright — lists the full terms and conditions, which specify certain exceptions to the licence. Third-party materials referenced above are excluded from this licence. | Attribution: © State of Queensland (QCAA) 2025