Ancient History marking guide and response

External assessment 2022

Short response (50 marks)

Assessment objectives

This assessment instrument is used to determine student achievement in the following objectives:

- 1. comprehend terms, concepts and issues in relation to a topic focused on people, power and authority in the Ancient World
- 3. analyse evidence from historical sources to show understanding in relation to a topic focused on the nature of power and how it was exercised in the Ancient World
- 4. synthesise evidence from historical sources to form a historical argument in relation to a topic focused on a powerful individual, group or society in the Ancient World
- 5. evaluate evidence from historical sources to make judgments in relation to a topic focused on people, power and authority in the Ancient World
- 6. create responses that communicate meaning to suit purpose in relation to a topic focused on people, power and authority in the Ancient World.

Note: Unit objective 2 is not assessed in this instrument.



Purpose

This document consists of a marking guide and a sample response.

The marking guide:

- · provides a tool for calibrating external assessment markers to ensure reliability of results
- indicates the correlation, for each question, between mark allocation and qualities at each level of the mark range
- informs schools and students about how marks are matched to qualities in student responses.

The sample response:

- demonstrates the qualities of a high-level response
- has been annotated using the marking guide.

Mark allocation

Where a response does not meet any of the descriptors for a question or a criterion, a mark of '0' will be recorded.

Where no response to a question has been made, a mark of 'N' will be recorded.

Marking guide

Criterion: Analysing

Q	The response:	М	The response:	М	The response:	М
1	provides a plausible explanation of one way Augustus consolidated his power	2	provides a plausible explanation of a second way Augustus consolidated his power	2	uses appropriate evidence from both sources to support both explanations	2
	makes a plausible statement about a way Augustus consolidated his power	1	makes a plausible statement about a second way Augustus consolidated his power	1	uses appropriate evidence from one source to support one explanation	1
	does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.	0	does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.	0	does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.	0

Criterion: Comprehending

Q	The response:	M
1	demonstrates appropriate use of terms in context	2
	demonstrates appropriate use of terms	1
	does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.	0
	• does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.	

Criterion: Analysing

Q	The response:	М
2a)	provides a discerning explanation of the way Augustus is portrayed by Suetonius, using well-chosen evidence from the source	4
	provides an explanation of the way Augustus is portrayed by Suetonius, using evidence from the source	3
	• identifies a way Augustus is portrayed by Suetonius, referring to evidence from Source 3	2
	refers to relevant evidence from the source	1
	does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.	0

Criterion: Evaluating

Q	The response:	М
2b)	explains a discerning judgment about reliability, using well- chosen evidence from the source	3
	makes a judgment about reliability, referring to relevant evidence from the source	2
	makes a statement about the reliability of Source 3	1
	does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.	0

Criterion: Evaluating

Q	The response, for Source 4:	М	The response, for Source 5:	М
3	explains a discerning judgment about usefulness, using well-chosen evidence from the source	2	explains a discerning judgment about usefulness, using well-chosen evidence from the source	2
	 makes a judgment about usefulness, referring to relevant evidence from the source 		makes a judgment about usefulness, referring to relevant evidence from the source	1
	does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.		does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.	0
	The response, for Source 4: The response, for Source			
	explains a discerning judgment about reliability, using well-chosen evidence from the source	2	explains a discerning judgment about reliability, using well-chosen evidence from the source	2
	makes a judgment about reliability, referring to relevant evidence from the source	1	makes a judgment about reliability, referring to relevant evidence from the source	1
	does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.	0	does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.	0

Criterion: Comprehending

Q	The response:	М
3	demonstrates an informed understanding of issues related to the question	3
	demonstrates a basic understanding of issues related to the question	2
	demonstrates a superficial understanding of issues related to the question	1
	does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.	0

Criterion: Creating and communicating

The response:	M
organises paragraph/s purposefully to succinctly and fluently convey ideas relating to the question, acknowledging sources used	3
organises paragraph/s to convey ideas relating to the question, acknowledging sources used	2
conveys ideas related to the question	1
does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.	0
	 organises paragraph/s purposefully to succinctly and fluently convey ideas relating to the question, acknowledging sources used organises paragraph/s to convey ideas relating to the question, acknowledging sources used conveys ideas related to the question

Criterion: Synthesising

Q	The response:	М
4	presents a sophisticated historical argument that responds directly to the question	5
	presents a reasoned historical argument that responds directly to the question	4
	presents a basic historical argument that responds to the question	3
	presents a superficial argument about Augustus's power	2
	makes statements about Augustus	1
	does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.	0
	The response:	
	skilfully combines relevant evidence from all 4 sources to develop the historical argument	5
	combines relevant evidence from all 4 sources to develop the historical argument	4
	combines evidence from 3 sources to develop the historical argument	3
	refers to relevant evidence from 2 sources	2
	refers to evidence from 1 source	1
	does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.	0

Criterion: Comprehending

Q	The response:	M	
4	demonstrates appropriate use of relevant terms, placed in historical context	3	
	demonstrates appropriate use of terms, placed in historical context	2	
	demonstrates use of historical terms	1	
	does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.	0	
The response:			
	demonstrates an informed understanding of concepts associated with Augustus's power		
	demonstrates understanding of concepts associated with Augustus's power	2	
	demonstrates a superficial understanding of concepts associated with Augustus's power	1	
	does not satisfy the descriptors above.	0	

Criterion: Evaluating

Q	The response:	М
4	explains how evidence from 2 sources corroborates a point being made in the argument	2
	states that evidence from 2 sources corroborates a point being made in the argument	1
	does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.	0

Criterion: Creating and communicating

Q	The response:	M
4	organises paragraph/s purposefully to succinctly and fluently convey ideas relating to the question, acknowledging sources used	3
	organises paragraph/s to convey ideas relating to the question, acknowledging sources used	2
	conveys ideas related to the question	1
	does not satisfy any of the descriptors above.	0

