Student Education Profiles 2006:
Preparation, distribution, appeals
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Overview

This is a report of activities completed by the Queensland Studies Authority (QSA) as part of issuing 40,608 Student Education Profiles (SEPs) to students who completed Year 12 in Queensland in 2006.

The SEP may contain a Senior Certificate or it may contain a Senior Certificate and a Tertiary Entrance (TE) Statement. The QSA issues the Senior Certificate, and is responsible for calculating the rankings derived from school assessments: Overall Positions and Field Positions (OPs and FPs). The QSA also issues the TE Statement, and informs students about these rankings.

- All students who complete Year 12 with at least one result in an Authority subject, Authority-registered subject, or Recorded subject, receive a Senior Certificate. The Senior Certificate also reports the details of accredited vocational education and training (VET), as well as grades in the Queensland Core Skills (QCS) Test.
- OP-eligible students receive a TE Statement. It reports overall achievement on a ranking from OP1 (highest) to OP25 (lowest), as well as achievements in a maximum of five fields ranked from FP1 (highest) to FP10 (lowest).

In 2006, the Certificate of Post-compulsory School Education (CPCSE) was in its third year of general implementation. Students are eligible to receive the CPCSE if they have at least 12 years of schooling and are identified by the school as having an impairment or difficulties in learning that are not primarily due to socioeconomic, cultural and/or linguistic factors. In 2006 for the second time, all CPCSE students who completed some form of VET had their achievements reported on their Senior Certificate; incomplete VET was reported on their CPCSEs. The CPCSE adds to the suite of certificates the Queensland Studies Authority issues, and ensures that the educational achievement of all students can be recorded.

From 2008, the title of the Certificate of Post-compulsory School Education will change to the Queensland Certificate of Individual Achievement (QCIA). This change emphasises the focus on individual achievement rather than on the post-compulsory phase of learning. The first QCIAs will be available to young people in 2008, at the same time the new Queensland Certificate of Education (QCE) will be first issued.

Table 1 presents summary information about Year 12 students in 2006.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Summary of the Year 12 student population in 2006</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of Year 12 students (including visa students)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Year 12 students (excluding visa students)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students eligible for an OP or equivalent OP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students eligible for an OP (excluding visa students)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students ineligible for an OP or equivalent OP (including visa students)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students ineligible for an OP (excluding visa students)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeat students (including visa students)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-entry students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students who completed senior studies over three years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visa students (eligible and ineligible for an equivalent OP)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In preparing and distributing SEPs, and during the review period, the QSA:

- made available to schools — via the QSA’s schools website — provisional data about their students
- analysed data to produce parameters needed in calculating OPs and FPs
- analysed data from each school looking for possible anomalies
- analysed individual student data to identify possible outliers before finalising OP calculations
- conducted any necessary special-case calculations
- determined OPs and FPs
- produced and dispatched Senior Certificates and TE Statements
- provided OPs and FPs through the QSA’s Smart OP website, a freecall interactive-voice-response (IVR) phone service, and an SMS service
- electronically transmitted tertiary entrance data to all tertiary admissions centres and selected interstate universities
- processed applications for verification (Senior Certificate) and review (TE Statement).

Since 2006, new laws require all students to be registered with the QSA in the year before they turn 16. These students will be the first group to work towards a QCE, to be awarded for the first time in 2008. The QCE is a school-based qualification awarded to young people at the completion of the senior phase of learning, usually at the end of Year 12, and will replace the Senior Certificate.
1. What developments were there in the format of the Senior Certificate?

The vision of the QSA is to be a leading education service for all students in Queensland. The QSA is dedicated to, among other things, issuing certificates that are valued and widely accepted as informative, accurate and authentic records of students’ achievements.

Since 2000, students have received Senior Certificates printed on A4 paper with details about vocational education achievements and other Recorded subjects printed on accompanying statements.

In 2006, certificates printed with accompanying statements reported vocational education in one or more of the following ways:

• as part of Authority subjects or Authority-registered subjects based on QSA syllabuses and study area specifications (SASs)
• as part of Authority-registered subjects the school developed
• as VET subjects
• as studies completed as part of school-based apprenticeships or traineeships (SATs).

Some students’ VET achievements were reported on two statements that accompanied their Senior Certificates.

In 2006, as in the previous six years, students who completed studies towards an SAT had the opportunity to have these studies reported on their Senior Certificates.

The back of all 2006 Senior Certificates gave summary information about:

• levels of achievement in Authority and Authority-registered subjects
• QCS Test grades
• completed VET certificates
• number and type of VET subjects.

The Senior Certificate also included a statement that the certificate is a credential recognised within the Australian Qualifications Training Framework (AQTF).

In 2006:

• 40 608 senior students received a Senior Certificate (in 2005 there were 40 026)
• 463 external certificates were issued (in 2005 there were 517)
• 576 CPCSEs were issued (in 2005 there were 585); of the 576 students receiving CPCSEs, 285 received both a Senior Certificate and a CPCSE, and 291 received the CPCSE only
• 17 279 students received a result in one or more Authority subjects or strands of Authority-registered subjects with embedded VET (in 2005 there were 21 308 students with results in embedded VET, and in 1997 there were 2616 students with results in embedded VET undertaken as part of Board (Authority) subjects)
• 164 792 modules and/or competencies were printed for 23 298 students as part of Authority subjects and Authority-registered subjects reported on the Senior Certificate (in 2005 there were 309 897 modules and/or competencies printed for 23 459 students, and in 1997 there were 18 097 modules and/or competencies printed for 2355 students, as part of Authority or Authority-registered subjects)
• the highest number of modules reported for a student studying Authority subjects or Authority-registered subjects with embedded VET was 65 for three subjects (in 2005 the highest was 120 in six subjects and in 1997 the highest was 32 in two subjects)
• 4281 students were recorded as studying under SATs at 323 schools; of these, 2467 students in 303 schools had completed modules or competencies shown on their Senior Certificate (in 2005 there were 2083 students at 237 schools studying under SATs, 1363 of whom, from 208 schools, had modules or competencies reported)

• 21,302 students finished Year 12 with at least one VET result recorded on their Senior Certificate (in 2005 there were 21,522 students with at least one VET result).

2. What data did schools receive?

The QSA made data available to schools by publishing them on the QSA’s secure schools website, depending on the category of the school and the category of subject-groups within the school (see Tables 2 and 3). The data consisted of information about scaling parameters for large and intermediate subject-groups, scaling information for small groups, provisional second stage scaling parameters, and provisional QCS Test performance data.

We believe there was one school in 2006 that sought to use this provisional data to improve the outcome for one student at the expense of others. However, the extensive checking procedures and negotiations with schools meant that this late change was noted and the data were amended.

The procedures for calculating OPs and FPs take into account different school sizes as well as differences in the size of school subject-groups. There are also procedures for “visa schools” and “visa subject-groups”. The QSA mailed these schools information about special scaling procedures for visa schools, and procedures used for visa subject-groups.

Table 2 lists the different categories of schools involved in the 2006 OP calculations. Table 3 lists the different categories of school subject-groups involved in the 2006 OP calculations.
Table 2: Count of senior schools by category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of schools with senior students</td>
<td>423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of senior schools with OP-eligible students:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Large schools</td>
<td>310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Small schools</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Intermediate schools</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools with a high proportion of visa students (visa schools)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools without any OP-eligible students</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Count of school subject-groups in Authority subjects by category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of school subject-groups</td>
<td>8903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large subject-groups (≥14)</td>
<td>3842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small subject-groups (1–9)</td>
<td>3886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate subject-groups (10–13)</td>
<td>1175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject-groups with a high proportion of visa students (visa subject-groups)</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject-groups without any OP-eligible students</td>
<td>356</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After receiving assessment data from schools (Exchange Disk #5) on 23 November, the QSA made data available to schools on the QSA’s secure schools website as follows:

- QCS summary, large group scaling, QCS versus Within-School Measure (WSM) plots for non-visa schools were uploaded on 27 November.
- Small-group boundaries, intermediate-group and second-stage scaling for non-visa schools were uploaded 4 December.
- Large and intermediate groups and second-stage scaling correction factors for visa schools and schools with visa subject-groups were uploaded on 13 December. (These schools were earlier sent a letter alerting them to different procedures for calculating scaling parameters for subject achievement indicators (SAIs) and overall achievement indicators (OAIs).)

The QSA emailed schools about the availability of these datasets on the days they were uploaded. Schools who could not be contacted by email were faxed the following day. More data were uploaded to the website in February, providing details about aspects of QCS Test performance, OPs, and selected subject results of groups of students at each school. For comparison, the QSA also uploaded state data to the schools website. Graphs and explanatory notes for 2006 Year 12 data were also uploaded to the QSA secure schools website in February 2007. These graphs provided information about performance of schools’ Year 12 students in 2006, and over a length of time. The explanatory notes illustrated possible uses of the data, including trends in student performance.

---

1 The number of OP-eligible students attending a school can be used as a basis for determining categories: large schools have 20 or more OP-eligible students; small schools have 15 or fewer OP-eligible students; and intermediate schools have 16–19 OP-eligible students.

2 OAIs are the weighted averages of scaled SAIs that are then banded into OPs.
3. How were analyses of data used to produce parameters needed in the calculations?

The QSA analysed data to:

- reduce the effect in the calculation of OPs of the QCS Test performance of students who were very much less or very much more successful in the QCS Test than they were at school
- produce the table of small subject-group achievement band boundaries used to convert small-group SAIs into scaled SAIs
- determine the cutoffs for OP and FP bands.

Students are OP-eligible if they complete at least 20 semester units of Authority subjects (including at least three Authority subjects for all four semesters) and sit the QCS Test. If students provide acceptable documentary evidence, they may be exempted from sitting the QCS Test. Although many OP-ineligible students also sit the QCS Test, these students’ results are not used at all in the OP calculations. Table 4 provides a summary of the number of students who sat or did not sit the QCS Test in 2006.

Table 4: Students who sat or did not sit the QCS Test in 2006

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total number of students who sat the QCS Test</th>
<th>28,865</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OP-eligible students (excluding visa students)</td>
<td>25,767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-ineligible students (excluding visa students)</td>
<td>2,412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visa students</td>
<td>639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students who sat the QCS Test but did not complete Year 12</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total number of students who did not sit the QCS Test</th>
<th>11,790</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OP-eligible students who were granted exemption from sitting:</td>
<td>472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• for medical reasons</td>
<td>444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• for bereavement reasons</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• for cultural reasons</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• for sporting reasons</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students previously eligible who were not granted an exemption from sitting</td>
<td>588</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The analysis of data shows that there is a high correlation between the way OP-eligible students perform on the QCS Test and the way they perform in their school assessment. In 2006, the QCS/WSM correlation was 0.71. The high correlation of QCS/WSM suggests that the QCS Test is a suitable and accurate scaling instrument.

Approximate year-to-year comparability of OPs was maintained in 2006. This process involved finding cutoffs comparable with the 2005 cutoffs, using a combination of estimates from three methods:

- comparing OAI scales using levels of achievement and multiple regression³

---

³ Multiple regression is a statistical analysis used to model students’ OAs based on levels of achievement. The results of a multiple regression can be used to examine the relationship between levels of achievement and OAs.
• comparing the OAIs of students from 2005 who were matched based on subjects and levels of achievement
• comparing OAI scales using QCS Test results.

Figure 1 shows the distribution of OPs in 2006.

**Figure 1: 2006 OP distribution**

![Bar chart showing the distribution of OPs in 2006.](image)

4. How were school datasets analysed for anomalies?

We analysed each dataset before we finalised the OP calculations. We analysed the dataset in three ways to detect possible instances in which one piece of information from a school was grossly inconsistent with other information from the same school.

Statistical analyses of datasets identified cases for which values were outside tolerances for:

- gaps within school subject-group SAI distributions
- relationships of school-group results on the QCS Test and overall achievement indicated by students’ levels of achievement
- possible unusual patterns of SAI distributions across subject-groups.

4.1 SAI distributions

We examined all SAI distributions from large school subject-groups as part of the process of checking data supplied by schools. The analysis of SAIs looked for, among other things, unusually large gaps, and unusual consistencies in patterns of SAI decisions across different subjects within a school.

We checked distributions of SAIs against the corresponding Forms R6 (used by schools to propose levels of achievement) for face-value discrepancies among 3844 school subject-groups. We contacted schools when we had questions about the face-value consistency of SAI placements and the relativities implied by the corresponding Form R6. As a result of these checks we phoned schools and there were alterations to SAIs for 448 school subject-groups from 165 schools.
Using several mathematical modelling techniques, we identified possible unusual patterns of SAI
decisions across subject-groups within a school. This modelling identified schools for which there was
an unusual consistency across sets of SAIs and/or an unusual clustering of students. The most common
pattern is a linear translation of SAIs from the Forms R6. The SAI distributions for the large subject-
groups within these schools were scrutinised against the Forms R6. We identified patterns in 24
schools and checked all large subject-groups in these schools. This resulted in changes to SAIs for 317
subject-groups from these schools.

The analyses also resulted in the QSA requesting 377 exit folios of work for selected students to
provide the evidence on which SAI decisions had been made for 26 subject-groups from one school.
For this school there was a pattern of linear translations of SAIs from the Forms R6 with little
discrimination amongst students on the same rung. This suggests that teachers were not making
judgments about relativities amongst their students. However, this school chose to reconsider their
decisions and made changes to their SAIs in the 26 subjects. This was in fact the third year such
extensive negotiations with this school have been needed.

4.2 School-group data

We checked data for subject-groups and for whole school-groups to determine whether mean QCS
Test performances were very inconsistent with overall school performances. For each school a
polyscore⁴ was estimated for each student. School-groups with large negative mean residual
polyscores were selected. (A large negative mean residual suggests that students in this group tend to
have an OAI much lower than their polyscore or estimated overall achievement.) Similarly, we
selected school-groups with a much larger polyscore spread than OAI spread for further analysis. (In
these cases, the students well above the school mean may on average have OAIIs much lower than their
estimated overall achievement.)

Groups with a sufficient inconsistency of QCS Test and level-of-achievement information were
referred to the QSA’s Scaling Anomalies Committee for consideration of possible special-case
calculations. As a result of the Scaling Anomalies Committee decisions, we changed final-stage
parameters for 21 schools. These changes involved raising the mean OAIIs at 15 schools and raising

⁴ Note concerning polyscores
A simple mathematical model (Sympton, JB & Haladyna, TM 1988, “An Evaluation of Polyweighting in
Orleans, April 1988) can be used to obtain an estimate of each student’s overall achievement starting from levels of
achievement alone. These estimates are over-simplifications in that they involve ignoring differences between students with
the same level of achievement in a given subject; that is, all VHAs in French are treated equally, and so on. As the table
below shows, the resulting estimates, “polyscores”, of overall achievement correlate very well with OAIIs (the finer-grained
scale which is cut into OPs).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlation</th>
<th>2006 student data N = 26 229</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OAI ~ Polyscore</td>
<td>0.949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAI ~ QCS</td>
<td>0.747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyscore ~ QCS</td>
<td>0.710</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This procedure provides estimates of overall achievement independently of the procedures used for determining OPs. The
estimates are based on treating each level of achievement in each subject as equivalent. They are not based on treating levels
of achievement in different subjects as equivalent, nor are they based on assuming that levels of achievement represent an
equal interval scale (that SA is to HA, as HA is to VHA, for example). Therefore, polyscores provide more suitable estimates
of overall achievement than simple averages of levels of achievement that have been turned into a five-point scale.
the mean-difference at six schools to bring these parameters to the values whereby they would not have stood out as outliers.

Four schools requested that the Authority look at their data due to circumstances the students experienced that could have had a negative impact on their performance on the QCS Test. The QSA also examined the data for schools that may have been affected by Cyclone Larry. This was done at the request of the Minister for Education Training and the Arts. In three out of the four cases an examination of the data revealed no evidence for an intervention. The data for the fourth school revealed evidence for an intervention, although not on the grounds set out by the school. Of the schools examined because of the possible effects of Cyclone Larry there was evidence in the data for an intervention at one school.

5. What was done to analyse individual student data for anomalies?

For groups of students with similar combinations of subjects, individual checks were based on the relationship between OAI and average levels of achievement (across best five subjects), and OAI and individual polyscore. We also used a multiple regression analysis, which models OAI in terms of levels of achievement, as an overall check. Unlike analyses based on average levels of achievement, both the polyscore and this analysis have the advantage that they do not involve treating a particular level of achievement in one subject as being the same as the level of achievement in another subject.

Like the polyscore analysis, the multiple regression analysis showed a very good correlation between OAI and levels of achievement. The strength of these relationships means we can look for outliers — cases in which a student has an OAI much lower than the modelled OAI for that student’s particular combination of levels of achievement in particular subjects.

For a substantial proportion of the OP-eligible population, we manually scrutinised data as an extra check of the integrity of OP calculations. First, computer searches of the data identified students with an OAI much lower than the modelled OAI for their particular combination of subjects and achievements. This search was performed for every student in the state and involved comparing them with every other student with a sufficiently similar combination of subjects.

Manual checks of around 4285 plots showing these individual student data indicated that further investigation was warranted for 972 of these students, on the basis that these students’ OAI were possibly odd. For these students we printed an assessment record that showed semester units, levels of achievement, and SAIs in Authority subjects. We noted the panel comments on the relevant Forms R6, and found the student’s approximate place within the achievement band for each subject.

After we analysed the data for these students we found 510 cases for which a change was justified to the student’s OAI before the issue of SEPs. The OAI of these 510 students were increased to the point where they would not be considered outliers. This usually meant a change of one OP band.
6. What special-case calculations were conducted?

We carried out special calculations when:

- a school-group had a high proportion of visa students (see Table 2)
- a school subject-group had a high proportion of visa students (see Table 3).

These calculations followed procedures approved previously by the Queensland Board of Senior Secondary School Studies on the recommendation of the Technical Advisory Subcommittee of the Moderation Committee.

7. What was done to print and dispatch SEPs?

SEPs are printed in-house. This provides flexibility for variable printing of the Senior Certificates, which contained millions of items of information and more permutations of that information than ever before. In-house printing also provides easy access to programmers during the development phase, as well as the printing phase. Programming problems that appear during the printing of SEPs are resolved as they occur.

We extensively checked the quality of all certificates before we dispatched them. We put a quality-control loop in place to scrutinise every SEP printed. We made necessary changes to computer programs. (One aspect that could not be entirely resolved during this quality-control phase was the naming of subjects supplied by TAFE. Some TAFE subjects have names that are abbreviated in a way that readers of the Senior Certificate who are not familiar with these VET terms would find difficult to understand or to differentiate between when names are very similar. Before printing the certificates, QSA staff identified and corrected spelling errors and inconsistencies in abbreviations and punctuation of the names of TAFE subjects.)

All timelines were met.

The scheduled date for posting the SEPs was 15 December 2006. To maximise the probability that all students would receive their certificates on the same day, posting occurred over two days — Thursday 14 December for students living interstate, overseas, and in remote areas of Queensland; and Friday 15 December for the remaining students. In 2006, SEPs were posted to 544 Australian postcodes and five overseas zones.

There were no major problems with the schedule, and 40 608 Senior Certificates and 26 229 TE Statements (including those for visa students) were posted. We used computer programs to ensure that every SEP had a precise known position in the packing production line.

In 2006 the QSA provided Year 12 students with access to their OP and FPs through the QSA’s Smart OP website, a freecall interactive-voice-response (IVR) phone service, and direct to students’ mobile phones via SMS. The Smart OP service was available from 9 am Saturday 16 December to Monday 8 January 2007. To ensure that students could access their OP and FPs from Saturday 16 December, students needed to register between 9 October and 14 December. This year 7571 students registered (8380 registered in 2005). From Saturday 16 December 2006 to Monday 8 January 2007, there were 29 107 visits (37 144 visits in 2006) to the Smart OP website. The number of visits included
successful and unsuccessful logons, as well as multiple visits by the same student. For the same period, 3527 calls were made to the IVR service (3980 calls in 2005) and 2612 students (2595 in 2005) received their OP and FPs by SMS. Between Saturday 16 December 2006 and Friday 22 December, more than 900 calls were made to the general enquiry number. QSA staff dealt with various enquiries from students and their parents — issues ranging from lost PIN numbers, to ranks, changing preferences based on the OP received and tertiary entrance. QSA staff answered queries throughout the Christmas and New Year period, except for the public holidays.

As well as the information made available to schools on the website (listed in Section 2), on Tuesday 19 December details of students’ results for each school — levels of achievement, OPs, FPs, and QCS Test grades — were posted on the QSA’s schools website. Because the privacy of students and schools must be safeguarded, it was necessary to maintain security over internet data transfers and to continue to develop the effective use of user identities and secure passwords.

8. What tertiary admissions data were electronically transmitted?

The QSA sent Year 12 and tertiary entrance data electronically to all tertiary admissions centres and interstate universities that had received applications from Queensland students. The interstate admissions centres submitted to the QSA the names of Queensland students who applied through them, and information about these students only was released.

We supplied the Queensland Tertiary Admissions Centre (QTAC) with a file of Year 12 student results (external and internal) by Friday 15 December 2006. Interstate admission centres were sent data from Monday 20 December 2006, and interstate requests for student data are still being made.

In 2006, 1087 Queensland students applied to interstate universities (in 2005 and 1997 there were respectively 1166 and 1275 such students). In 2006, we used the Australian Tertiary Admissions System to convert the OPs of students applying to interstate universities. The system uses the common Interstate Transfer Index (ITI), which is a common scale used to convert the TE rank of one state to that of another. Each state is responsible for the conversion from home state TE rank to ITI. This conversion is based on a nationally approved combination of two methods previously used for interstate equivalences — the candidature method and the age–cohort method. The approved approach is based on principles appropriate to the inherent imprecision of both the starting data and the nature of conversion from one state rank to another.

9. What was done to process applications for verification (Senior Certificate) and review (TE Statement)?

Students had until Monday 8 January 2007 to lodge an application for verification of their Senior Certificate and to seek review of their OPs and FPs. Late applications were accepted on the next day.

In 2006 there were 336 of these applications received, which was a decrease of 63 from the 2005 figure (399).
Students’ applications can be classified into five main categories:

A. Requests to change names
We received 16 requests to change names on Senior Certificates.

B. Verification of results in Authority and/or Authority-registered subjects
Fifty-six applications related to differences between the levels of achievement stated on students’ exit statements or school reports and those shown on their Senior Certificates. We asked schools to verify results.

C. Correction of results in Recorded subjects
We received 104 requests to correct results in Recorded subjects.

D. Review in relation to OP/FPs
Two hundred and thirteen students applied to have their OPs reviewed (69 fewer than the 282 applications in 2005). In each case, we compared students from across the state who had similar results in a similar combination of subjects. We further checked available information when this preliminary check showed the student who applied for the review had an OAI apparently much lower than the OAI of other students with similar results in a similar combination of subjects. A panel of senior QSA officers examined each case and determined whether the calculation of a correction factor (to bring the student’s OP into line with those of others with similar results) was warranted.

E. Other
Applications by students for verification of their QCS Test results led to checks that an individual grade was correctly calculated. Since multiple marking of QCS Test papers had already occurred, there was no further re-marking.

A summary of the successful applications for verification and/or review (correct at the time of writing) is given in Table 5 (final figures may be different).

Table 5: Amendments to student results (as of 1 March 2007)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Changes to levels of achievement (number of students)</th>
<th>39</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authority subjects and Authority-registered subjects</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recorded subjects</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>external subjects</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes to OPs</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes to FPs</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes to QCS grades</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As soon as amendments were available, the QSA transmitted them to QTAC and tertiary admissions centres in other states.
10. Conclusions

Issuing the SEPs in 2006 was as complex as in 2005. The amount and complexity of the information collected and reported, as well as the quality assurance required, continue to require careful management and quality control. Much of this complexity comes from the reporting of accredited vocational education on Senior Certificates. While the activities involved are diverse and often complex, they share a single aim, which is to provide a high-quality credentialling service to the QSA’s most important clients — students.